Dear Sir or Madam

in response to your call for evidence, I respond as follows:

1. What issues should the Inquiry be investigating in detail?
   - what cost elements were excluded in the decision to curtail the tram line at York Place, in p: should the costs of making good aborted works in Leith Walk been included?
   - what quality controls were in place during MUDFA works? how were issues logged that were brought to the attention of (a) the Council as the road authority and (b) the tram company (the client)

2. What direct evidence does the public have on the consequences of the failure to deliver the project in the time, within the budget and to the extent projected?
   - I can provide copies of email correspondence with senior councillors, and senior tram company staff.
   - Promises in various documents made by politicians and tram bill promoters.

Yours faithfully

Harald Tobermann
Vice Chair
Leith Central Community Council
www.leithcentralcc.co.uk

On 13 July 2015 at 10:14, <info@edinburghtraminquiry.org> wrote:
As per my previous email of 12 May 2015.

The Edinburgh Tram Inquiry is currently retrieving and reviewing documents, and gathering further material as part of the investigation into the Edinburgh Trams project. As part of that process the Inquiry is now keen to hear from the public on two themes:
1. What issues should the Inquiry be investigating in detail?
2. What direct evidence does the public have on the consequences of the failure to deliver the project in the time, within the budget and to the extent projected?

Further details and an online survey is available via the website www.edinburghtraminquiry.org or by contacting the Secretary to the Inquiry.

The deadline for responses is 4 August 2015.
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